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Introduction

“High Energy Electromagnetic Radiation Processes”
“High Energy Electromagnetic Radiation Processes”

The talk is about production of electromagnetic radiation.
The talk is about production of electromagnetic radiation.

Four Tools to Study EMR:
- Study of spectra
- Source morphology
- Time variability
- Polarization of EMR

The conventional astronomy features tools that allow studying EM radiation across the entire electromagnetic spectrum, fine angular and time resolution to reveal the source morphology and time-dependent processes and further details with polarimetry observations.
Introduction

“High Energy **Electromagnetic** Radiation Processes”

The talk is limited to the processes enabled by particle’s *electric charge*:

**Lorentz Transformation for EM field**

\[
E_x = E'_x \\
E_y = \Gamma E'_y \\
E_z = \Gamma E'_z \\
B_x = 0 \\
B_y = -\beta \Gamma E'_z \\
B_z = \beta \Gamma E'_y
\]

**Poynting Flux**

\[
S = \frac{c}{4\pi} \vec{E} \times \vec{B}
\]
Introduction

“High Energy Electromagnetic Radiation Processes”

If a charged particle gets accelerated, then the configuration of the electromagnetic field is such that the Poynting flux is non-zero. Magnetic field provides ideal conditions for radiation. Acceleration in electric field saturates quickly, so one needs time variable E-field (i.e., a wave) or strong spatial dependence (e.g., collisions of charged particles).
“High Energy Electromagnetic Radiation Processes”

“High Energy” stays for “gamma ray”? Yes, but what is more important: this is about the emission of high-energy particles. This may seem to be a trivial step: high energy emission can only be produced by high energy particles, however there are two important points:

- Emission peak energy depends on the radiation mechanism, thus a high energy particle may emit photons with very different energy.
- High Energy particles are essentially non-thermal, i.e., there is no fundamental/general way to describe their distribution.

![Graphs showing energy distributions and time evolution of high energy particles.](image)
"High Energy Electromagnetic Radiation Processes"

"High Energy" stays for "gamma ray"?

Yes, but what is more important: this is about the emission of high-energy particles. This may seem to be a trivial step: high energy emission can only be produced by high energy particles, however there are two important points:

- Emission peak energy depends on the radiation mechanism, thus a high energy particle may emit photons with very different energy.
- High Energy particles are essentially non-thermal, i.e., there is no fundamental/general way to describe their distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy (TeV)</th>
<th>H.E.S.S.</th>
<th>Chandra</th>
<th>XMM UVW1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10^2$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>$10^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>$10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^6$</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^8$</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Four tools for studying non-thermal sources:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spectrum</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Time Variability</th>
<th>Polarization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where ✓ means a strong dependence on the radiation mechanism, thus:

For interpretation of non-thermal emission an accurate description of the particle spectrum is as important as accurate calculation of the emission. When particles lose energy by radiating this influences the particle distribution thus the description of the particle spectrum should include the impact of radiation. However, non-radiative processes may also have a substantial influence on the particle spectrum.
“High Energy Electromagnetic Radiation Processes”

There are many excellent text books covering radiation process: Landau&Lifshitz, Longair, Rybicki&Lightman

There are advance numerical tools that allow quick calculations of spectra, e.g. naima by Victor Zabalza:
There are many excellent textbooks covering radiation processes: Landau & Lifshitz, Longair, Rybicki & Lightman. There are advanced numerical tools that allow quick calculations of spectra, e.g., naima by Victor Zabalza.

Naima allows not only computing synchrotron, IC, bremsstrahlung, and pion decay emission, but also advance MCMC fitting radiative models to observed spectra.
“High Energy Electromagnetic Radiation Processes”

There are many excellent textbooks covering radiation processes: Landau & Lifshitz, Longair, Rybicki & Lightman.

There are advanced numerical tools that allow quick calculations of spectra, e.g., naima by Victor Zabalza.

This talk aims to discuss aspects that are beyond the standard textbooks and that are still useful for modeling of high-energy sources.
Description of Non-Thermal Particles

Distribution of high energy particles depends on some parameter(s):

- Energy: \( dN = f dE \)
- Momentum: \( dN = f d^3p \)
- Coordinate: \( dN = f dE dx \)
- Coordinates: \( dN = f dE d^3r \)
- Phase-space coordinates: \( dN = f d^3r d^3p \)

Here \( f \) is distribution function, and its definition may vary dependingly on the context. For each problem one needs to select an adequate distribution function that allows accounting for all relevant processes.
Description of Non-Thermal Particles

Distribution of high energy particles depends on some parameter(s):

- Energy: \( dN = f dE \)
- Momentum: \( dN = f d^3p \)
- Coordinate: \( dN = f dEdx \)
- Coordinates: \( dN = f dEd^3r \)
- Phase-space coordinates: \( dN = f d^3rd^3p \)

Here \( f \) is distribution function, and its definition may vary dependingly on the context. For each problem one needs to select an adequate distribution function that allows accounting for all relevant processes.
Description of Non-Thermal Particles

If one ignores the particle spin—which still might be important in some astrophysical conditions, e.g., in pulsar magnetosphere—the phase-space distribution function provides the most complete description: \( dN = fd^3rd^3p \).

There is a quite simple equation for the distribution function, *Boltzmann Equation*:

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} + F \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial f_a}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (rf_a)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial (pf_a)}{\partial p} = 0
\]
Description of Non-Thermal Particles

If one ignores the particle spin – which still might be important in some astrophysical conditions, e.g., in pulsar magnetosphere – the phase-space distribution function provides the most complete description:

\[ dN = fd^3rd^3p. \]

What about particle collisions?

There is a quite simple equation for the distribution function, *Boltzmann Equation:*

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} + F \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right]_{\text{col}}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{X_0} f \, dx = - \int \mathcal{F}(f) \, dS_x
\]

\[
\int_{X_0} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \text{div} \mathcal{F}(f) \right) \, dx = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial f_a}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (rf_a)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial (pf_a)}{\partial p} = 0
\]
Boltzmann Equation

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} + F \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right]_{col} \]

- The collision integral \( \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right]_{col} \) accounts for many processes: *particle injection, acceleration, scattering, energy losses, etc* – i.e., ALL plasma physics (lecture by M.Malkov illustrated the complexity of the topic)

- In the simplest case, Boltzmann collision integral is

\[
\left[ \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial t} \right]_{st} = \sum_b \int dp_1 v_{rel} d\sigma \left( f_a(x')f_b(x_1') - f_a(x)f_b(x_1) \right)
\]
Boltzmann Collision Integral in Astrophysics

\[ \left[ \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial t} \right]_{st} = \sum_b \int dp_1 v_{rel} d\sigma (f_a(x')f_b(x'_1) - f_a(x)f_b(x_1)) \]

- Boltzmann collision integral is widely used in kinetics of neutral gases, e.g., to describe an admixture propagation.
- In astrophysics the collision integral in this form is used to describe, e.g., the electromagnetic cascading:

\[
\frac{\partial f_e}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial f_e}{\partial r} + F \frac{\partial f_e}{\partial p} = \int dp_\gamma c \, d\vec{\sigma}_{\gamma\gamma} f_\gamma(p_\gamma) - c \vec{\sigma}_{ic} f_e \\
\frac{\partial f_\gamma}{\partial t} + c \frac{\partial f_\gamma}{\partial r} = \int dp_e c \, d\vec{\sigma}_{ic} f_e(p_e) - c \vec{\sigma}_{\gamma\gamma} f_\gamma
\]
Boltzmann Equation

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} + F \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right]_{\text{col}}
\]

- Equation with the collision integral \( \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right]_{\text{col}} \) cannot be solved for astrophysical applications.
- It is possible to divide the physics included in the collision integral in two parts: complex (acceleration – talks by Bykov, Malkov, Blasi, Lemoine) and simple (cooling, which can be treated under the continuous-loss approximation).
- Also in the most cases particles are isotropic in some system, thus particle energy is a good parameter.
Significant simplification in the case of energy losses

**Cont. Loss Approx.**

\[ F(E, t) = \int_{E}^{\infty} f(E', t) dE' \]

\[ F(E + \dot{E}dt, t + dt) = F(E, t) + dt \int_{E}^{\infty} q(E', t) dE' \]

**Fokker-Planck Equation**

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\dot{E}f)}{\partial E} = q(E, t) \]

Distribution function & Injection

\[ dN = f(E, t) dE \quad dN = q(E, t) dE dt \]

D.Khangulyan  High Energy Electromagnetic Radiation Processes
Significant simplification in the case of energy losses

\[ F(E + \dot{E}dt, t + dt) = F(E, t) + dt \int_{E}^{\infty} q(E', t) dE' \]

\[ F(E, t) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial E} \dot{E} dt + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} dt = F(E, t) + dt \int_{E}^{\infty} q(E', t) dE' \]

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \rightarrow \]

accounting for \( \frac{\partial F}{\partial E} = -f \) \( \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \int_{E}^{\infty} q(E', t) dE' = -q \)

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\dot{E}f)}{\partial E} = q(E, t) \]
Particle Energy Distribution

Fokker-Planck Equation Solution

\[ f(E, t) = \frac{1}{\dot{E}} \int_{E}^{E_{\text{eff}}} \text{d}E' \, q(E'), \quad \text{where} \quad t = \int_{E}^{E_{\text{eff}}} \frac{\text{d}E'}{\dot{E}'} \]

\[ \dot{E} = \dot{E}_{\text{syn}} + \dot{E}_{\text{ic}} + \dot{E}_{\text{ad}} + etc/\dot{E}_{\text{syn}} + \dot{E}_{\text{pp}} + \dot{E}_{\text{p\gamma}} + etc \]

Fast Cooling (Saturation)

\[ E_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow \infty \]

\[ f(E) = \frac{1}{\dot{E}} \int_{E}^{\infty} \text{d}E' \, q(E') \]

Slow Cooling

\[ t \ll E/\dot{E} \]

\[ f(E, t) = q(E) \cdot t \]
Spectral Breaks: Particle Distribution

Solution of the Fokker-Planck Equation:

\[ f(E, t) = \frac{1}{\dot{E}} \int_{E}^{E_{\text{eff}}} \frac{dE'}{\dot{E}'} q(E'), \quad \text{where} \quad t = \int_{E}^{E_{\text{eff}}} \frac{dE'}{\dot{E}'} \]

Let us consider the simplest case:

\[ q(E, t) = \theta(E - E_{\text{MIN}})\theta(E_{\text{MAX}} - E)E^{-\alpha}, \quad \text{where} \quad \dot{E} \propto E^{\beta} \]

Cooling energy is \( E_c = \dot{E}(E_c)t \), where \( t \) is the source age.

\( E_c > E_{\text{MIN}} \) then break at \( E_c \) and range of energy is \( E_{\text{MIN}} < E_{\text{MAX}} \):

\[ f(E) \propto \left\{ \left( E^{-\alpha+1} - E_{\text{MAX}}^{-\alpha+1} \right) E^{-\beta} \right\} E^{-\alpha} \]

\( E_c < E_{\text{MIN}} \) then break at \( E_{\text{MIN}} \) and range of energy is \( E_c < E_{\text{MAX}} \):

\[ f(E) \propto \left\{ \left( E^{-\alpha+1} - E_{\text{MAX}}^{-\alpha+1} \right) E^{-\beta} \right\} E^{-\beta} \]
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Spectral Breaks: Particle Distribution

Solution of the Fokker-Planck Equation:

\[ f(E, t) = \int_{E'} q(E', t) dE', \]

where

\[ t = \int_{E'} \dot{E}' dE'. \]

Let us consider the simplest case:

\[ q(E, t) = \theta(E - E_{\text{MIN}}) \theta(E_{\text{MAX}} - E) E^{-\alpha}, \]

Cooling energy is

\[ E_c = \dot{E}(E_c) t, \]

where \( t \) is the source age.

If \( E_c > E_{\text{MIN}} \) then break at \( E_c \) and range of energy is \( E_{\text{c}} < E_{\text{MAX}} \):

\[ f(E) \propto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (E_{\text{c}} - E)^{-\alpha+1} & \text{if } E < E_{\text{c}} \\ (E_{\text{MAX}} - E)^{-\beta} & \text{if } E > E_{\text{c}} \end{array} \right. \]

If \( E_c < E_{\text{MIN}} \) then break at \( E_{\text{MIN}} \) and range of energy is \( E_{\text{c}} < E_{\text{MAX}} \):

\[ f(E) \propto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (E_{\text{MIN}} - E)^{-\alpha+1} & \text{if } E < E_{\text{MIN}} \\ (E_{\text{MAX}} - E)^{-\beta} & \text{if } E > E_{\text{MIN}} \end{array} \right. \]

In case with pure power-law injection and cooling the particle distribution may have one break and three different slopes \( \alpha + \beta + 1 \), \( \alpha \), and \( \beta \) are allowed (here \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are the power-law indexes of the acceleration spectrum and the cooling rate). For example, the particle distribution cannot have two breaks. More complicated particle distributions are allowed if (i) the injection spectrum is not a power-law (e.g., each acceleration spectrum has a high-energy cutoff); (ii) the loss rate has a non-power-law dependence on energy.
Used simplifications

- Phenomenological treatment of the acceleration process
  - High energy cut-off may depend on the loss rate
  - Some acceleration processes cannot be treated as a power-law injection, e.g. converter mechanism by Derishev
- Particles lose energy by small fractions, which is not true for some processes, e.g. IC in the Klein-Nishina regime
- The Fokker-Planck equation describes a one-zone model
Continuous Loss Approximation for Klein-Nishina regime

Klein-Nishina and Continues Loss approximation

- Particles lose energy by small fractions, which is not true for some processes, e.g. IC in the Klein-Nishina regime

Solid line: \( c \sigma_{ic} f(\gamma) = q(\gamma) + c \int_{\gamma}^{\infty} d\gamma' f(\gamma') \frac{d\sigma}{dE_\gamma}(\gamma', \gamma' - \gamma) \)

Dash-dotted line: \( f(\gamma) = \frac{1}{E_{ic}} \int_{\gamma}^{\infty} d\gamma' q(\gamma') \)
Transport Equation

Transport Equation with Diffusion and Escape

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\dot{E} f)}{\partial E} + \nabla (D \nabla f) + \frac{f}{\tau} = q(E, t) \]

also can be solved analytically, see e.g. Ginzburg’s "Astrophysics of Cosmic Rays"
Emission of a Particle (two channels)

Single particle spectra:
\[ \frac{dN_i}{dE_\gamma} = K_i (E_\gamma, E_0) \]

Total luminosity:
\[ L = \dot{E}_1 + \dot{E}_2 \]

Luminosity per channel:
\[ L_i = \frac{\dot{E}_i}{\dot{E}_1 + \dot{E}_2} L \]

Ratio of the humps:
\[ \frac{L_1}{L_2} = \frac{\dot{E}_1}{\dot{E}_2} \]
\( \nu F_\nu \) peak gives the luminosity

\[ \nu F_\nu = L_\gamma = \int dE_\gamma E_\gamma^2 \frac{dN_{\gamma}}{dE_{\gamma}} = \]

\[ = E_0 \left( \frac{1}{2 - \alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta - 2} \right) \]

For \( \alpha = 1.5 \) and \( \beta = 2.5 \)

\[ L_\gamma = 4L_0 \]
Synchrotron Radiation

- Single Particle Spectrum:

\[
\frac{dl_{\text{syn}}}{d\omega} = \frac{\sqrt{3} e^3 B}{2\pi mc^2} F \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_c} \right)
\]

where \( \omega_c = \frac{3eB\gamma^2}{2mc} \) and \( F(x) = x \int_{x}^{\infty} K_{5/3}(x')dx' \)

- Energy Losses: \( \dot{E}_{\text{syn}} = -\frac{4}{3} U_B c \gamma^2 \)

- Spectrum transformation: \( \alpha \Rightarrow \Gamma = \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \)

Acceleration of non-thermal particle proceeds in magnetized media therefore accelerated particles unavoidable interact with magnetic field generating non-thermal emission – synchrotron radiation.
Approximation for the peak

- \( x \gg 1 \)

\[ F(x) = \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{1/2} x^{1/2} e^{-x} \]

- \( x \ll 1 \)

\[ F(x) = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3\Gamma(1/3)}} x^{1/3} \]

- Useful approximation (but not an asymptotic):

\[ F(x) = x \int_{x}^{\infty} K_{5/3}(x') dx' = 1.76 x^{0.29} e^{-x} \]
MHD regime implies that

\[ E = B/\eta \quad \eta > 1 \]

Acceleration Time: \( E/eE \)

\[ t_{\text{acc}} = \eta \frac{r_g}{c} = 0.1\eta E_{\text{TeV}} B^{-1}_G \text{s} \]

Synchrotron Cooling Time

\[ t_{\text{syn}} \approx 400 E^{-1}_{\text{TeV}} B^{-2}_G \text{s} \]

Synchrotron Self Cutoff

\[ \epsilon = 1.15 \hbar \omega_c \approx 300 \eta^{-1} \text{MeV} \]
Synchrotron Test for MHD Regime

MHD regime implies that

\[ E = \frac{B}{\eta}, \quad \eta > 1 \]

Acceleration Time:

\[ \frac{E}{\epsilon} = \frac{\eta R_g}{c} = 0.1 \eta E_{\text{TeV}} B_{G}^{-1} s \]

\[ E_{br} \sim 1 \text{ keV}, \text{ i.e. } \eta \sim 10^5 \left( v_{sh} \sim 10^3 \text{ km/s} \right) \]

\[ t_{\text{syn}} \approx 400 E^{-1} B_{G}^{-2} s \]

Synchrotron Self Cutoff

\[ \epsilon = 1.15 \hbar \omega_c \approx 300 \eta^{-1} \text{ MeV} \]
Synchrotron Test for MHD Regime

MHD regime implies that:

\[ \eta = 2\pi \left( \frac{c}{v_{sh}} \right)^2 \]

Shock acceleration:

\[ \eta = 2\pi \left( \frac{c}{v_{sh}} \right)^2 \]

Acceleration Time:

\[ t_{acc} = \eta \frac{r_g}{c} = 0.1\eta E_{\text{TeV}} B_G^{-1} \text{s} \]

\[ E_{br} \sim 1 \text{ keV}, \text{i.e. } \eta \sim 10^5 (v_{sh} \sim 10^3 \text{ km/s}) \]

\[ t_{syn} \approx 400 E_{\text{TeV}}^{-1} B_G^{-2} \text{s} \]

Synchrotron Self Cutoff

\[ \epsilon = 1.15\hbar \omega_c \approx 300\eta^{-1} \text{MeV} \]

\[ E_{br} \sim 10^{21-22} \text{Hz}, \text{i.e. } \eta \sim 2\pi (v_{sh} \rightarrow c ?) \]

PWN: \( \eta \rightarrow 1(?) \)

---
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Gyro freq. is $\Omega_{ge} = \frac{eB}{mc}$, so

$$\Omega_{ge}T = \gamma \frac{T}{t_{acc}}$$

$$\frac{d\gamma}{d(\Omega_{ge}T)} = \eta^{-1}$$

Different acceleration regimes

- DSA ($v = 0.1c$) $\rightarrow \eta = 10^3$
- Crab Nebula $\rightarrow \eta = 10$
- Can it be even more efficient? $\eta \rightarrow 1$
Particle Acceleration in Astrophysics

Gyro freq. is \( \Omega_{ge} = \frac{eB}{mc} \), so

\[
\Omega_{ge} T = \frac{\gamma T}{t_{acc}}
\]

\[
\frac{d\gamma}{d(\Omega_{ge} T)} = \eta^{-1}
\]

Different acceleration regimes

- DSA (\( v = 0.1c \)) → \( \eta = 10^3 \)
- Crab Nebula → \( \eta = 10 \)
- Can it be even more efficient? \( \eta \to 1 \)
Particle Acceleration in Astrophysics

\[ \eta = 10 \]

Gyro freq. is \( \Omega_{ge} = \frac{eB}{mc} \), so

\[ \Omega_{ge} T = \gamma T / t_{acc} \]

\[ \frac{d\gamma}{d(\Omega_{ge} T)} = \eta^{-1} \]

Different acceleration regimes

- DSA (\( v = 0.1c \)) \( \rightarrow \) \( \eta = 10^3 \)
- Crab Nebula \( \rightarrow \) \( \eta = 10 \)
- Can it be even more efficient? \( \eta \rightarrow 1 \)

Matsumoto+ 2015
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Crab Flares: Even More Efficient Acceleration?

\[ E_{br} > 300 \text{ MeV} \]
MHD regime implies that

\[ \mathcal{E} = \frac{B}{\eta} \quad \eta > 1 \]

Acceleration Time: \[ E/e\mathcal{E} \]

\[ t_{\text{acc}} = \eta \frac{r_g}{c} = 0.1\eta E_{\text{TeV}} B_G^{-1} \text{s} \]

Synchrotron Cooling Time

\[ t_{\text{syn}} \approx 400 E_{\text{TeV}}^{-1} B_G^{-2} \text{s} \]

Synchrotron Self Cutoff

\[ \epsilon = 1.15\hbar \omega_c \approx 300\eta^{-1} \text{MeV} \]
Gyro freq. is $\Omega_{ge} = \frac{eB}{mc}$, so

$$\Omega_{ge} T = \frac{\gamma T}{t_{acc}}$$

$$\frac{d\gamma}{d(\Omega_{ge} T)} = \eta^{-1}$$

Different acceleration regimes

- DSA ($v = 0.1c$) $\rightarrow$ $\eta = 10^3$
- Crab Nebula $\rightarrow$ $\eta = 10$
- Can it be even more efficient? $\eta \rightarrow 1$
Synchrotron Model

**Synchrotron Emission component**

- If synchrotron losses are the dominant

\[ \omega_{\text{MAX}} = 300 \eta^{-1} \text{MeV} \]

- If the break is cooling

\[ \omega_c = 60 \left( \frac{t}{400 \text{s}} \right)^{-2} B_G^{-3} \text{keV} \]

- If the break is cooling

\[ \Delta \Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \]

- Hardest cooled spectrum

\[ \Gamma = 1.5 \]
Proton Synchrotron

Electrons
- Cooling: $t_{\text{cool}} = 400 E_{\text{TeV}}^{-1} B_G^{-2} \text{s}$
- Photon Energy: $\hbar \omega = 20 E_{\text{TeV}}^2 B_G \text{keV}$
- Highest Energy Cooling: $t_{\text{cool}} = 6 \eta^{1/2} B_G^{-3/2} \text{s}$
- Highest Energy Photons: $\hbar \omega = 100 \eta^{-1} \text{MeV}$

Protons
- Cooling: $t_{\text{cool}} = 400 E_{\text{TeV}}^{-1} B_G^{-2} \left(\frac{m_p}{m_e}\right)^4 \text{s}$
- Photon Energy: $\hbar \omega = 20 E_{\text{TeV}}^2 B_G \left(\frac{m_e}{m_p}\right)^3 \text{keV}$
- Highest Energy Cooling: $t_{\text{cool}} = 6 \eta^{1/2} B_G^{-3/2} \left(\frac{m_p}{m_e}\right)^2 \text{s}$
- Highest Energy Photons: $\hbar \omega = 100 \eta^{-1} \left(\frac{m_p}{m_e}\right) \text{MeV}$
Inverse Compton Scattering

Single Particle Spectrum:

$$\frac{dI_{ic}}{d\omega} = \frac{r_0^2 \pi m_e c^4 \kappa T^2}{3 \hbar^3 E} \times \left[ \frac{(\omega/E)^2}{2(1 - \omega/E)} + 1 \right]$$

Energy Losses: $$\dot{E}_{syn} = -\frac{4}{3} U_{ph} c \gamma^2$$

Spectrum transformation: $$\alpha \Rightarrow \Gamma = \frac{\alpha + 1}{2}$$

Background photons should present in any source, in many cases IC scattering appears to be comparable to the synchrotron radiation.
Very similar processes

- **Energy Losses**

\[
\dot{E}_{IC} = -\frac{4}{3} U_{ph} c \gamma^2
\]
\[
\dot{E}_{syn} = -\frac{4}{3} U_{B} c \gamma^2
\]

- **Mean Energy**

\[
\hbar \omega = \left( \frac{4}{3} \hbar \omega_0 \right) \gamma^2
\]
\[
\hbar \omega = \left( \frac{eB\hbar}{2\pi m_e c} \right) \gamma^2
\]

\[
\frac{L_{syn}}{L_{ic}} = \frac{U_B}{U_{ph}}
\]
\[
\frac{\hbar \omega_{syn}}{\hbar \omega_{ic}} = \left( \frac{4}{3} \hbar \omega_0 \right)
\]
Synchrotron-IC Model

Two identical humps?

Ratio of the peaks

\[ \frac{L_{\text{syn}}}{L_{\text{ic}}} = \frac{U_B}{U_{\text{ph}}} \]

Ratio of the energies

\[ \frac{\hbar \omega_{\text{syn}}}{\hbar \omega_{\text{ic}}} = \left( \frac{\frac{eB\hbar}{2\pi mc}}{\left(\frac{4}{3}\hbar \omega_0\right)} \right) \]
### Kein-Nishina Cut-off

#### Photon Energy < Electron Energy

\[
\hbar \omega = \left( \frac{4}{3} \hbar \omega_0 \right) \gamma^2 < \gamma m_e c^2
\]

\[
\gamma < \left( \frac{m_e c^2}{4 \hbar \omega_0} \right)
\]

\[
\gamma < 5 \times 10^5 \omega_{0,\text{eV}}^{-1}
\]

\[
\hbar \omega = \left( \frac{eB \hbar}{2\pi m_e c} \right) \gamma^2 < \gamma m_e c^2
\]

\[
\gamma < \left( \frac{2\pi m_e^2 c^3}{eB \hbar} \right)
\]

\[
\gamma < 3 \times 10^{14} B_G^{-1}
\]
**Inverse Compton Radiation**

Interact with photon field

- Maximum Energy of Gamma Rays $E_\gamma < \frac{\gamma \left(1 - \frac{1}{4\gamma^2}\right)}{1 + \frac{1}{4\gamma\epsilon}}$

- Single Particle Spectrum:

$$\frac{d\sigma_\gamma}{dE_\gamma} = \frac{\pi r_e^2 \epsilon^2 E_\gamma}{\gamma^3 (\gamma - E_\gamma)} \left[ \ln \frac{E_\gamma}{\gamma\epsilon(\gamma - E_\gamma)} + \left(4\epsilon\gamma^2 - 4\gamma\epsilon E_\gamma - E_\gamma\right) \right]$$

\[
\frac{(2\epsilon\gamma^2 - 2\gamma\epsilon E_\gamma + \epsilon E_\gamma^2 + E_\gamma)}{4E_\gamma\gamma\epsilon(\gamma - E_\gamma)} \right]
\]
IC scattering on BB:

\[
\frac{dN_{\text{ani/iso}}}{d\omega \ dt} = \frac{T^3 m_e^3 c^3 \kappa}{\pi^2 \hbar^3} \int_{\epsilon_{\text{ani/iso}}/T}^{\infty} \frac{d\nu_{\text{ani/iso}}}{d\omega \ dN_{\text{ph}} \ dt} \frac{x^2 \ dx}{e^x - 1}.
\]

Approximate treatment as in Khangulyan+2014

\[
\frac{dN_{\text{ani/iso}}}{d\omega \ dt} = \frac{2r_o^2 m_e^3 c^4 \kappa T^2}{\pi \hbar^3 E^2} \times \left[ \frac{z^2}{2(1 - z)} F_1 (x_0) + F_2 (x_0) \right]
\]

where \( x_0 = \frac{z}{2(1 - z) E_e T (1 - \cos \theta) t_\theta} \), \( z = E_\gamma / E_e \), and \( F_{1,2} \) are simple functions of 1 argument.
Treatment of IC losses and scattering

IC scattering on BB:

\[
\frac{dN_{ani/iso}}{d\omega \, dt} = \frac{T^3 m_e^3 c^3 \kappa}{\pi^2 \hbar^3} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\nu_{ani/iso}}{d\omega \, dN_{ph} \, dt} \frac{x^2 \, dx}{\epsilon_{ani/iso}} \cdot
\]

Approximate treatment as in Khangulyan+2014

\[
\frac{dN_{ani/iso}}{d\omega \, dt} = 2 r_2 o m_3 e_4 \kappa T_2 \pi \hbar^3 \times \left[ z_2^2 (1 - z) F_1(x_0) + F_2(x_0) \right]
\]

where

\[
x_0 = z_2^2 \left( 1 - z \right) E e T (1 - \cos \theta) t \theta,
\]

\[
z = \frac{E \gamma}{E e},
\]

and \(F_1, F_2\) are simple functions of 1 argument.
## Treatment of IC losses and scattering

### Representation of spectra

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Figure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$F_1$ Equation (11)</td>
<td>$G_{1}^{(0)}(\sigma_0, \xi) = \frac{1}{1+z} \frac{e^{-\sigma_0}}{2ET(1-\cos \theta)} \frac{1}{1+z} \frac{1}{2ET(1-\cos \theta)}$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_1$ Equation (11)</td>
<td>$G_{1}^{(0)}(\sigma_0, \xi) \times g(\xi)$</td>
<td>0.153 0.857 0.254 1.84</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_2$ Equation (11)</td>
<td>$G_{2}^{(0)}(\sigma_0, \xi) = \frac{1}{1+z} \frac{e^{-\sigma_0}}{2ET(1-\cos \theta)} \frac{1}{1+z} \frac{1}{2ET(1-\cos \theta)}$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_2$ Equation (11)</td>
<td>$G_{2}^{(0)}(\sigma_0, \xi) \times g(\xi)$</td>
<td>1.33 0.691 0.534 1.668</td>
<td>1 and 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_3$ Equation (14)</td>
<td>$G_{3}^{(0)}(\sigma_0, \xi) = \frac{1}{1+z} \frac{1+e^{-\sigma_0}}{1+z} \frac{e^{-\sigma_0}}{2ET(1-\cos \theta)} \frac{1}{1+z} \frac{1}{2ET(1-\cos \theta)}$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_3$ Equation (14)</td>
<td>$G_{3}^{(0)}(\sigma_0, \xi) \times g(\xi)$</td>
<td>0.443 0.606 0.54 1.481 0.319</td>
<td>4 and 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_4$ Equation (14)</td>
<td>$G_{4}^{(0)}(\sigma_0, \xi) = \frac{1}{1+z} \frac{1+e^{-\sigma_0}}{1+z} \frac{e^{-\sigma_0}}{2ET(1-\cos \theta)} \frac{1}{1+z} \frac{1}{2ET(1-\cos \theta)}$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_4$ Equation (14)</td>
<td>$G_{4}^{(0)}(\sigma_0, \xi) \times g(\xi)$</td>
<td>0.726 0.461 0.382 1.457 6.62</td>
<td>4 and 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Energy losses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Figure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$F_{\text{ani}}$ Equations (33) and (55)</td>
<td>$G_{\text{ani}}^{(0)} = \frac{e^{-\sigma} \log(1+2\sqrt{E/u}/c)}{1+e^{c\sigma}/0.32}$</td>
<td>$2ET(1-\cos \theta)$</td>
<td>6.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{\text{iso}}$ Equations (36) and (57)</td>
<td>$G_{\text{iso}}^{(0)} = \frac{e^{-\sigma} \log(1+2\sqrt{E/u}/c)}{1+e^{c\sigma}/0.32}$</td>
<td>$4ET$</td>
<td>4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{\text{iso}}$ Equation (36)</td>
<td>$G_{\text{iso}}^{(0)}(u) \times g(u)$</td>
<td>$4ET$</td>
<td>-0.362 0.682 0.826 1.281 5.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Interaction rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Figure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$F_{\text{ani}}$ Equations (46) and (55)</td>
<td>$G_{\text{ani}}^{(0)}(u) = 0.822 \log(1+1.949u)$</td>
<td>$2ET(1-\cos \theta)$</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{\text{ani}}$ Equation (46)</td>
<td>$G_{\text{ani}}^{(0)}(u) \times g(u)$</td>
<td>$2ET(1-\cos \theta)$</td>
<td>1.05 0.885 2.46 1.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{\text{iso}}$ Equations (50) and (57)</td>
<td>$G_{\text{iso}}^{(0)}(u) = 0.822 \log(1+0.97u)$</td>
<td>$4ET$</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{\text{iso}}$ Equation (50)</td>
<td>$G_{\text{iso}}^{(0)}(u) \times g(u)$</td>
<td>$4ET$</td>
<td>0.829 0.88 1.27 1.135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mean energy of emitted photons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Figure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}_{\text{ani}}$ Equation (55)</td>
<td>$G_{\text{ani}}^{(0)} / G_{\text{ani}}^{(0)}$</td>
<td>$2ET(1-\cos \theta)$</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}_{\text{ani}}$ Equation (56)</td>
<td>$2ET(1-\cos \theta)$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}_{\text{iso}}$ Equation (57)</td>
<td>$G_{\text{iso}}^{(0)} / G_{\text{iso}}^{(0)}$</td>
<td>$4ET$</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}_{\text{iso}}$ Equation (58)</td>
<td>$4ET$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Energy of emitting particle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Figure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_0$ Equation (59)</td>
<td>$\frac{\sqrt{\log(1+\sqrt{u}/2)}}{2} \sqrt{\log(1+\sqrt{u}/2)}$</td>
<td>$2ET(1-\cos \theta)$</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_0$ Equation (59)</td>
<td>$2ET(1-\cos \theta)$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_0$ Equation (59)</td>
<td>$2ET(1-\cos \theta)$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Klein-Nishina Effect describes the energy losses of high-energy electromagnetic radiation processes. The equation for the energy losses is:

\[ \frac{dN_e}{dE} = \frac{1}{\bar{E}} \int_0^\infty dE' Q(E') \]  

where \( dN_e/dE \) is the number of photons per unit energy, \( \bar{E} \) is the average energy, and \( Q(E) \) is the energy loss function.

The total energy loss \( \dot{E} \) is given by the sum of the synchrotron radiation \( \dot{E}_{\text{syn}} \) and the inverse Compton scattering \( \dot{E}_{\text{ic}} \): 

\[ \dot{E} = \dot{E}_{\text{syn}} + \dot{E}_{\text{ic}} \]
Klein-Nishina Effect

- X-ray: hardening
- $\gamma$-rays: no Klein-Nishina cutoff
Klein-Nishina Effect

- **Gamma-Ray Cutoff**
- **High Energy Cutoff**
- **Klein–Nishina Cutoff**

- **Cooling Break**
- **Adiabatic Losses**
- **Escape**

\[
\frac{W_{ph}}{W_{b}}
\]
\[
\frac{dN_{\gamma}}{dE_{\gamma}} = \int dE_e c(1 - \cos \theta) n_{ph} \frac{dN_e}{dE_e} \frac{d\sigma}{dE_{\gamma}}
\]

\[
\frac{d^2N(\theta, \omega)}{d\omega \, d\Omega} = \frac{r_0^2}{2\omega_0 E^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{\omega^2}{2E(E - \omega)} - \frac{\omega}{\omega_0 E(E - \omega)(1 - \cos \theta)} + \frac{\omega}{2\omega_0^2 E^2(E - \omega)^2(1 - \cos \theta)^2} \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{r_0^2}{2\omega_0 E^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{z^2}{2(1 - z)} - \frac{2z}{b_\theta(1 - z)} + \frac{2z^2}{b_\theta^2(1 - z)^2} \right],
\]

where \( b_\theta = 2(1 - \cos \theta)\omega_0 E \), \( z = \omega / E \), and \( \omega \) changes in the limits \( \omega_0 \ll \omega \ll \frac{b_\theta}{1 + b_\theta} E \).

Aharonian & Atoyan, 1981
\[
\frac{dN_\gamma}{dE_\gamma} = \int dE_e c(1 - \cos \theta) n_{ph} \frac{dN_e}{dE_e} \frac{d\sigma}{dE_\gamma}
\]
Klein-Nishina Effect

Gamma–Ray Cutoff

Cooling Break
Adiabatic Losses
Escape

High Energy Cutoff

Klein–Nishina Cutoff

$\frac{W_{ph}}{W_b}$
Klein-Nishina + Anisotropic IC

- Gamma-Ray Cutoff
- High Energy Cutoff
- Klein–Nishina Cutoff
- Cooling Break
- Adiabatic Losses
- Escape
Radiation in Turbulent Fields

- Study of emission in turbulent media has a long history (e.g., Gatmansev1973, Tsytovich&Kaplan1973, Chiuderi&Veltri1974)
- There are two basic approaches: perturbation theory and full description of trajectories (via kinetic equation or numerically)

\[
\frac{dW}{d\omega} = \frac{e^2 \omega}{2\pi c^3} \int_{\omega/2\gamma^2}^{\infty} \left| \frac{w_{\omega'}}{\omega'} \right|^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\omega}{\omega' \gamma^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{2\omega'^2 \gamma^4} \right) d\omega'
\]

\[
\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial W}{\partial r} - (\Omega \varphi) W + eE \frac{\partial W}{\partial p} = \\
\int_0^\infty d\tau \left\{ \left( \frac{ec}{\varrho} \right)^2 \varrho_a T_{\alpha\beta}(\Delta r(\tau), \tau) \varrho_{\beta} + \\
e^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\alpha}} K_{\alpha\beta}(\Delta r(\tau), \tau) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\beta}} - \frac{e^2c}{\varrho} \left( \varrho_{\beta} S_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\alpha}} + \\
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\alpha}} S_{\alpha\beta} \varrho_{\beta} \right) \right\} W(r - \Delta r(\tau), p - \Delta p(\tau), t - \tau).
\]
Radiation in Turbulent Field (Jitter Case)

$$\frac{d\varepsilon_{n\omega}}{d\omega d\Omega} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi^2 c^3} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{n \times [(n - \beta(t)) \times a(t)]}{(1 - n \beta(t))^2} e^{i\omega (t - nr(t)/c)} dt \right|^2$$

$$\frac{eB\lambda}{mc^2} \ll 1$$

$$P_\omega(t) = \frac{e^4 \langle B^2 \rangle}{6\pi^2 m^2 c^4} \int_{1}^{\infty} u(\xi) \left( \frac{\omega \xi}{2c\gamma^2} \right)^2 \psi\left( \frac{\omega \xi}{2c\gamma^2} \right) \frac{d\xi}{\xi}$$

$$K_{\rho\sigma} \equiv \langle B_\rho(r_1, t_1) B_\sigma(r_2, t_2) \rangle$$

$$\tilde{K}_{\rho\sigma}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{q_\rho q_\sigma}{q^2} \right) \psi(|q|) \langle B^2 \rangle$$

**Kelner+2014:** Emission spectrum produced in small-scale turbulence is determined by the spectrum of turbulence only (both in isotropic and anisotropic regimes)
Synchrotron v.s. Jitter Emission Spectra

\[ x^{4/3} \rightarrow x \]
\[ \omega_c \rightarrow \frac{mc^2 \omega_c}{eB\lambda} \]
\[ xe^{-x} \rightarrow x^{1-\alpha} \]
where \( \psi \propto q^{-2-\alpha} \) for \( q \gg 1 \)
Applicability of Jitter Radiation

- Jitter Regime is realized if \( \frac{mc^2}{eB\lambda} \gg 1 \), i.e.,

\[
\lambda \ll 1.7 \times 10^2 (m/m_e)(B/1 \text{ G})^{-1} \text{ cm}
\]

- For conditions typical for SNR, blazar jet, and GRB that gives \( 10(m/m_e) \text{ km}, 1(m/m_e) \text{ m}, \text{ and } 1(m/m_e) \text{ mm} \), respectively. That conditions might be hard to realize for electrons.

- However, for protons the requirements are significantly relaxed.

However, for relativistic particles there is an intermediate radiation regime (given \( \gamma \gg 1 \)):

\[
\frac{mc^2}{eB} \ll \lambda \ll \frac{mc^2 \gamma}{eB}
\]
the emission spectrum is determined by the trajectory curvature

if $\lambda \gg \frac{mc^2}{eB}$, the momentary spectrum is synchrotron-type

the time-averaged spectrum can be obtained by averaging the distribution of magnetic field: $w(B)$
Radiation in Turbulent Field (Large-Scale Case)

- The emission spectrum is determined by the trajectory curvature.
- If $\lambda \gg \frac{mc^2}{eB}$, the momentary spectrum is synchrotron-type.
- The spectrum from an ensemble of particles can be obtained by averaging the distribution of magnetic field.

$w(B)dB$ is probability to interact with field in the range $(B, B + dB)$

- $w(B)dB = \delta(B/B_0 - 1)dB/B_0$
- $w(B)dB = \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{\pi}} (B/B_0)^2 e^{-3(B/B_0)^2}/2 dB/B_0$
- $w(B)dB = \frac{32 (B/B_0)^2}{\pi(1+(B/B_0)^2)^4} dB/B_0$
for a power-law distributions: \( w(B \to \infty) \propto B^{-\sigma} \)

the photon spectrum is also power-law: \( \nu F_\nu \propto \omega^{2-\sigma} \)
High-Energy radiative models typically treat plasma physics a simplified way: phenomenological treatment of the acceleration and continues-loss approximation.

Nevertheless, obtaining the particle distribution is the most important part of the non-thermal emission modeling.

Particle spectra cannot feature arbitrary breaks, each break needs to be justified by cooling, injection or dominant radiation channel change.

One-zone models very useful and can address many physical features, but much more sophisticated models are widely used for more than 20 years.

In the high energy regime IC mechanism may proceed in the Klein-Nishina or anisotropic regime which has a strong impact on particle distribution, synchrotron, and IC spectra.

Synchrotron radiation may proceed differently in the case of a turbulent magnetic field which has a strong impact on the synchrotron spectrum, (particle distribution and IC spectra remain not affected).