On Colour-Kinematics Duality and Double Copy

Leron Borsten

Maxwell Institute for Mathematical Sciences & Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh

Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, 11 November 2021

Based on joint work 2007.13803, 2102.11390, 2108.03030 and 21xx.xxxx with Branislav Jurčo, Hyungrok Kim, Tommaso Macrelli, Christian Saemann and Martin Wolf

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ ■▶ ▲ ■ ● の Q @

Gravity and gauge theory

- Gravity as a gauge theory:
 - Gauge theory of Lorentz, (super) Poincaré or de Sitter symmetries [Utiyama '56; Kibble '61; MacDowell-Mansouri '77; Chamseddine-West '77; Stelle-West 79]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● の へ ()

Holographic principle - AdS/CFT correspondence ['t Hooft '93; Susskind '94; Maldacena '97]

Gravity and gauge theory

- Gravity as a gauge theory:
 - Gauge theory of Lorentz, (super) Poincaré or de Sitter symmetries [Utiyama '56; Kibble '61; MacDowell-Mansouri '77; Chamseddine-West '77; Stelle-West 79]
 - Holographic principle AdS/CFT correspondence ['t Hooft '93; Susskind '94; Maldacena '97]

Here, we appeal to a third and (superficially) independent perspective:

 $Gravity = Gauge \times Gauge$

The theme of gravity as the "square" of Yang-Mills has appeared in a variety of guises going back to the KLT relations of string theory [Kawai-Lewellen-Tye '85] Cf. Field theory [Feynman-Morinigo-Wagner; Papini '65]

Bern-Carrasco-Johansson colour-kinematic (CK) duality and double-copy of (super) Yang-Mills (plus matter) scattering amplitudes [Bern-Carrasco-Johansson '08, '10; Bern-Dennen-Huang-Kiermaier '10] $\mathsf{Gravity} = \mathsf{Gauge} \times \mathsf{Gauge}$

Longstanding open questions

- Does CK duality (in some appropriate sense) hold to all orders?
- ▶ Does the double copy hold: is Einstein really the square of Yang–Mills?
- Is this restricted to the S-matrix or more general?



$\mathsf{Gravity} = \mathsf{Gauge} \, \times \, \mathsf{Gauge}$

Off-shell field theory approach

CK duality is property of the Yang–Mills Batalin–Vilkovisky (BV) action, up to Jacobian counter terms [BJKMSW '21]

$$S_{\text{BRST-CK}}^{\text{YM}} = \int C_{ij} c_{ab} A^{ia} \Box A^{ja} + F_{ijk} f_{abc} A^{ia} A^{jb} A^{kc}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

$Gravity = Gauge \times Gauge$

Off-shell field theory approach

CK duality is property of the Yang–Mills Batalin–Vilkovisky (BV) action, up to Jacobian counter terms [BJKMSW '21]

$$S_{\text{BRST-CK}}^{\text{YM}} = \int C_{ij} c_{ab} A^{ia} \Box A^{ja} + F_{ijk} f_{abc} A^{ia} A^{jb} A^{kc}$$

- Natural, but non-standard notion of CK duality:
 - Infinite dimensional symmetry of the BV action
 - Loop amplitude integrands CK dual automatically
 - Anomalous broken by Jacobian counterterms
 - Generalised unitarity proof of double copy doesn't straightforwardly apply

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ ■▶ ▲ ■ ● ● ● ●

$Gravity = Gauge \times Gauge$

Off-shell field theory approach

CK duality is property of the Yang–Mills Batalin–Vilkovisky (BV) action, up to Jacobian counter terms [BJKMSW '21]

$$S_{\text{BRST-CK}}^{\text{YM}} = \int C_{ij} c_{ab} A^{ia} \Box A^{ja} + F_{ijk} f_{abc} A^{ia} A^{jb} A^{kc}$$

- Natural, but non-standard notion of CK duality:
 - Infinite dimensional symmetry of the BV action
 - Loop amplitude integrands CK dual automatically
 - Anomalous broken by Jacobian counterterms
 - Generalised unitarity proof of double copy doesn't straightforwardly apply
- Double copy of BV action is manifestly valid \rightarrow double copy to all loops

$Gravity = Gauge \times Gauge$

Off-shell field theory approach

CK duality is property of the Yang–Mills Batalin–Vilkovisky (BV) action, up to Jacobian counter terms [BJKMSW '21]

$$S_{\text{BRST-CK}}^{\text{YM}} = \int C_{ij} c_{ab} A^{ia} \Box A^{ja} + F_{ijk} f_{abc} A^{ia} A^{jb} A^{kc}$$

- Natural, but non-standard notion of CK duality:
 - Infinite dimensional symmetry of the BV action
 - Loop amplitude integrands CK dual automatically
 - Anomalous broken by Jacobian counterterms
 - Generalised unitarity proof of double copy doesn't straightforwardly apply
- Double copy of BV action is manifestly valid \rightarrow double copy to all loops
- Perturbative quantum Einstein–Hilbert gravity coupled to a Kalb–Ramond 2-form and dilaton is the square Yang–Mills theory [BJKMSW '20, '21]

$\mathsf{Gravity} = \mathsf{Gauge} \times \mathsf{Gauge}$

```
Homotopy algebra of CK duality
```

▶ BV quantised Yang-Mills $\rightarrow L_{\infty}$ -algebra that factorises:

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bi-adjoint } \phi^3 \text{ theory} & \text{YM theory} & \mathcal{N} = 0 \text{ supergravity} \\ \mathfrak{col} \otimes \mathfrak{col} \otimes \mathfrak{scal} & \longleftarrow & \mathfrak{col} \otimes \mathfrak{kin} \otimes \mathfrak{scal} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{kin} \otimes \mathfrak{scal} \end{array}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ 少へ⊙

► CK duality $\leftrightarrow \mathsf{BV}_{\infty}^{\square}$ -algebra $\mathfrak{Kin} = \mathfrak{kin} \otimes_{\tau} \mathfrak{scal}$

- Homotopy relations \leftrightarrow kinematic Jacobi relations
- Only tree relations \rightarrow potentially dramatic computational speed-up

Order of Events

1. Review: BCJ CK Duality and Double-Copy

2. CK Duality Redux

3. BV Lagrangian Syngamy

4. Generalisations

5. Homotopy CK Duality and Double Copy

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ □豆 − のへで

BCJ CK Duality and Double-Copy

Amplitudes and cubic diagrams

Can write *n*-point *L*-loop gluon amplitude in terms of only cubic diagrams:

$$A_{YM}^{n,L} = \sum_{i \in \text{cubic diag}} \int_{L} \frac{c_{i}n_{i}}{S_{i}d_{i}}$$

$$P_{i} \stackrel{\flat}{\longrightarrow} \int_{S} \stackrel{\frown}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{+}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{\downarrow}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{\downarrow}{\to} \stackrel$$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ . □ • • • ○ < ○

Amplitudes and cubic diagrams

Can write n-point L-loop gluon amplitude in terms of only cubic diagrams:



Amplitudes and cubic diagrams

Can be realised in the YM Lagrangian through auxiliary fields:

$$g^{2}[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}][A^{\mu}, A^{\nu}] \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}B^{\mu\nu\kappa} \Box B_{\mu\nu\kappa} - g(\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\partial^{\kappa}B_{\kappa\mu\nu})[A^{\mu}, A^{\nu}]$$
[Bern-Dennen-Huang-Kiermaier '10]

Feynman diagrams give 'cubic' amplitudes directly:
$$A^{n,L}_{YM} = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Feynman diag}} \int_{L} \frac{c_{\alpha}n_{\alpha}}{S_{\alpha}d_{\alpha}} = \sum_{i \in \text{cubic diag}} \int_{L} \frac{c_{i}n_{i}}{S_{i}d_{i}}$$

Example: 4-point s-channel diagram



BCJ colour-kinematic duality conjecture

▶ There is an organisation of the *n*-point *L*-loop gluon amplitude:

$$A_{\mathrm{YM}}^{n,L} = \sum_{i \in \mathrm{cubic\ diag}} \int_L rac{c_i n_i}{S_i d_i}$$

such that

$$c_i + c_j + c_k = 0 \implies n_i + n_j + n_k = 0$$

 $c_i \longrightarrow -c_i \implies n_i \longrightarrow -n_i$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ □豆 − のへで

[Bern-Carrasco-Johansson '08]

BCJ colour-kinematic duality conjecture

There is an organisation of the n-point L-loop gluon amplitude:

$$A_{\mathsf{YM}}^{n,L} = \sum_{i \in \mathsf{cubic diag}} \int_{L} \frac{c_i n_i}{S_i d_i}$$

such that

$$c_i + c_j + c_k = 0 \implies n_i + n_j + n_k = 0$$

 $c_i \longrightarrow -c_i \implies n_i \longrightarrow -n_i$

[Bern-Carrasco-Johansson '08]

CK duality established at tree-level

[Stieberger '09, Bjerrum-Bohr–Damgaard–Vanhove '09... Mizera '19; Reiterer '19]

- Significant evidence up to 4 loops in various (super)YM theories
 [Carrasco–Johansson '11; Bern–Davies–Dennen–Huang–Nohle '13; Bern-Davies-Dennen '14...]
- Quickly becomes difficult to check: remains conjectural at the loop level [Bern-Carrasco-Chen-Edison-Johansson-Parra-Martinez-Roiban-Zeng '18]

BCJ double-copy prescription

Given CK dual amplitude of pure Yang-Mills

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{YM}}^{n,L} = \int_{L} \sum_{i \in \mathsf{cubic diag}} rac{m{c}_i \, m{n}_i}{m{S}_i \, m{d}_i}$$

$$S_{\rm YM} = rac{1}{2g^2} \int {
m tr} F \wedge \star F$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

BCJ double-copy prescription

Given CK dual amplitude of pure Yang-Mills

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{YM}}^{n,L} = \int_{L} \sum_{i \in \mathsf{cubic diag}} rac{c_i n_i}{S_i d_i}$$

$$S_{
m YM} = rac{1}{2g^2}\int {
m tr} F\wedge \star F$$

Double-copy:

$C_i \longrightarrow$	ni
-----------------------	----

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 の � @

BCJ double-copy prescription

Given CK dual amplitude of pure Yang-Mills

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{YM}}^{n,L} = \int_{L} \sum_{i \in \mathsf{cubic diag}} rac{\mathcal{C}_{i} \, n_{i}}{S_{i} d_{i}}$$

$$S_{\rm YM} = rac{1}{2g^2} \int {
m tr} F \wedge \star F$$

Double-copy:

$c_i \longrightarrow n_i$

• Gives an amplitude of $\mathcal{N} = 0$ supergravity

$$A_{\mathcal{N}=0}^{n,L} = \sum_{i \in \text{cubic diag}} \int_{L} \frac{n_{i} n_{i}}{S_{i} d_{i}}$$

$$S_{\mathcal{N}=0} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \star R - \frac{1}{d-2} d\varphi \wedge \star d\varphi - \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{4}{d-2}\varphi} dB \wedge \star dB$$

where *B* is the Kalb-Ramond 2-form, φ is the dilaton [Bern-Carrasco-Johansson '08, '10; Bern-Dennen-Huang-Kiermaier '10]

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● の へ ()

Conceptually compelling and computationally powerful: N = 8 supergravity four-point to 5 loops! (finite)

[Bern–Carrasco–Chen–Edison–Johansson–Parra-Martinez–Roiban–Zeng '18]

- Conceptually compelling and computationally powerful: N = 8 supergravity four-point to 5 loops! (finite)
 [Bern-Carrasco-Chen-Edison-Johansson-Parra-Martinez-Roiban-Zeng '18]
- Can be explained by supersymmetry and E₇₍₇₎ U-duality [Bjornsson-Green '10, Bossard-Howe-Stelle '11; Elvang-Freedman-Kiermaier '11; Bossard-Howe-Stelle-Vanhove '11]

At 7 loops any would-be cancellations are "not consequences of supersymmetry in any conventional sense" [Bjornsson-Green '10]

- Conceptually compelling and computationally powerful: N = 8 supergravity four-point to 5 loops! (finite) [Bern-Carrasco-Chen-Edison-Johansson-Parra-Martinez-Roiban-Zeng '18]
- Can be explained by supersymmetry and E₇₍₇₎ U-duality [Bjornsson-Green '10, Bossard-Howe-Stelle '11; Elvang-Freedman-Kiermaier '11; Bossard-Howe-Stelle-Vanhove '11]
- At 7 loops any would-be cancellations are "not consequences of supersymmetry in any conventional sense" [Bjornsson-Green '10]
- ▶ D = 4, N = 5 supergravity finite to 4 loops, contrary to expectations:

"Enhanced" cancellations

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥ ♥

[Bern-Davies-Dennen '14]

Such cancellations not seen for $\mathcal{N} = 8$ at 5 loops: implications unclear

Classical (non)perturbative solutions and gravity wave astronomy [Monteiro–O'Connell–White '14; Cardoso–Nagy–Nampuri '16; Luna–Monteiro–Nicholson–Ochirov–O'Connell–Westerberg–White '16; Berman–Chacón–Luna–White '18; Kosower–Maybee–O'Connell '18; Bern–Cheung–Roiban–Shen–Solon–Zeng '19; Bern–Luna–Roiban–Shen–Zeng '20; Chacón-Nagy-White '21...]

- Geometric/world-sheet picture: ambitwistor string theories theories and scattering equations, e.g. non-trivial gluon and spacetime backgrounds [Cachazo-He-Yuan '13 '14; Mason-Skinner '13; Adamo-Casali-Skinner '13; Adamo-Casali-Mason-Nekovar '17 '18; Geyer-Monteiro '18; Geyer-Mason '19; Geyer-Monteiro-Stark-Muchão '21...]
- Surprising applications: gauge structure of the conjectured (4,0) phase of M-theory [LB '18] and twin non-Lagrangian S-folds theories [LB-Duff-Marrani '19]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ (~

Two key ideas:

Can CK duality and the double-copy be realised at the level of field theory?

Two key ideas:

- Can CK duality and the double-copy be realised at the level of field theory?
 - CK duality manifesting actions and kinematic algebras
 [Bern-Dennen-Huang-Kiermaier '10; Tolotti-Weinzierl '13; Cheung-Shen '16;
 Luna-Monteiro-Nicholson-Ochirov-O'Connell-Westerberg-White '16]
 [Monteiro-O'Connell '11, '13; Bjerrum-Bohr-Damgaard-Monteiro-O'Connell '12;
 Fu-Krasnov '16; Chen-Johansson-Teng-Wang 19; Campiglia-Nagy '21...]
 - Field theory product of BRST gauge theories and Lagrangian double-copy [Bern-Dennen-Huang-Kiermaier '10; Anastasiou-LB-Duff-Hughes-Nagy '14; LB '17; Anastasiou-LB-Duff-Nagy-Zoccali '18; LB-Jubb-Makwana-Nagy '20; LB-Nagy '20]

Two key ideas:

Can CK duality and the double-copy be realised at the level of field theory?

- CK duality manifesting actions and kinematic algebras
 [Bern-Dennen-Huang-Kiermaier '10; Tolotti-Weinzierl '13; Cheung-Shen '16;
 Luna-Monteiro-Nicholson-Ochirov-O'Connell-Westerberg-White '16]
 [Monteiro-O'Connell '11, '13; Bjerrum-Bohr-Damgaard-Monteiro-O'Connell '12;
 Fu-Krasnov '16; Chen-Johansson-Teng-Wang 19; Campiglia-Nagy '21...]
- 2. Field theory product of BRST gauge theories and Lagrangian double-copy [Bern–Dennen–Huang–Kiermaier '10; Anastasiou–LB-Duff–Hughes–Nagy '14; LB '17; Anastasiou–LB–Duff-Nagy–Zoccali '18; LB–Jubb–Makwana–Nagy '20; LB-Nagy '20]

Covariant Color-Kinematics Duality: a closed-form, analytic expression for all tree-level BCJ numerators in YM theory! [Cheung-Mangan '21]

Two key ideas:

- Can CK duality and the double-copy be realised at the level of field theory?
 - CK duality manifesting actions and kinematic algebras
 [Bern-Dennen-Huang-Kiermaier '10; Tolotti-Weinzierl '13; Cheung-Shen '16;
 Luna-Monteiro-Nicholson-Ochirov-O'Connell-Westerberg-White '16]
 [Monteiro-O'Connell '11, '13; Bjerrum-Bohr-Damgaard-Monteiro-O'Connell '12;
 Fu-Krasnov '16; Chen-Johansson-Teng-Wang 19; Campiglia-Nagy '21...]
 - 2. Field theory product of BRST gauge theories and Lagrangian double-copy [Bern–Dennen–Huang–Kiermaier '10; Anastasiou–LB-Duff–Hughes–Nagy '14; LB '17; Anastasiou–LB–Duff-Nagy–Zoccali '18; LB–Jubb–Makwana–Nagy '20; LB-Nagy '20]
- Covariant Color-Kinematics Duality: a closed-form, analytic expression for all tree-level BCJ numerators in YM theory! [Cheung-Mangan '21]
- Today: the YM BV action admits a natural form of 'anomalous' CK duality that immediately implies the double copy to all orders

Lighting overview

Step 1. Cubic tree-level off-shell CK duality manifesting Yang-Mills BRST-action:

$$S_{\text{BRST-CK}}^{\text{YM}} = \int C_{ij} c_{ab} A^{ia} \Box A^{ja} + F_{ijk} f_{abc} A^{ia} A^{jb} A^{kc}$$

Step 2. BRST-action double-copy:

$$S_{\rm DC} = \int C_{ij} C_{\tilde{\imath}\tilde{\jmath}} A^{i\tilde{\imath}} \Box A^{j\tilde{\jmath}} + F_{ijk} F_{\tilde{\imath}\tilde{\jmath}\tilde{k}} A^{i\tilde{\imath}} A^{j\tilde{\jmath}} A^{k\tilde{k}}$$

Step 3. Double-copy BRST operator:

$$(Q_{\mathsf{YM}}, ilde{Q}_{\mathsf{YM}}) \longrightarrow Q_{\mathsf{DC}} = Q_{\mathsf{diffeo}} + Q_{2\operatorname{-form}} + \mathsf{trivial} \; \mathsf{symmetries}$$

Step 4. Assuming tree-level physical CK duality, perturbative quantum equivalence:

$$Q_{\rm DC}^{2} = Q_{\rm DC}S_{\rm DC} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad S_{\rm DC} \cong S_{\rm BRST}^{\mathcal{N}=0}$$

Corollary: Loop amplitude (integrands) computed from Feynman diagrams of $S_{\text{BRST-CK}}^{\text{YM}}$ manifest CK duality, *up to counterterms needed for unitarity*, and double-copy correctly to give amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 0$ supegravity



Manifest physical tree-level CK duality

There is a YM action such that the Feynman diagrams yield amplitudes manifesting CK duality for tree-level amplitudes:



 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

[Bern–Dennen–Huang–Kiermaier 1004.0693; Tolotti–Weinzierl 1306.2975]

Manifest physical tree-level CK duality

This can be "strictified" to have only cubic interactions through infinite tower of auxiliaries [BJKMSW '21]

[Bern-Dennen-Huang-Kiermaier '10]

& 5-point anx. fields

Purely cubic Feynman diagrams \longrightarrow

$$A_n^{\text{tree}} = \sum_i \frac{c_i n_i}{d_i} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad c_i + c_j + c_k = 0 \Rightarrow n_i + n_j + n_k = 0$$

Generalise to off-shell BRST CK duality

- Does not imply loop-level CK duality, e.g. unphysical off-shell modes propagate in the loops
- To lift to loop-level we should include off-shell unphysical/ghost modes in the external states so that we can glue trees into loops:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● の へ ()

Generalise to off-shell BRST CK duality

- Does not imply loop-level CK duality, e.g. unphysical off-shell modes propagate in the loops
- To lift to loop-level we should include off-shell unphysical/ghost modes in the external states so that we can glue trees into loops:
 - 1. Longitudinal gluons gauge choice
 - 2. Ghosts BRST Ward identities
 - 3. Off-shell nonlocal field redefinitions (invisible on-shell)

▶ 3. \Rightarrow induces Jacobian counterterms that cancel spurious modes [BJKMSW '21]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ ■▶ ▲ ■ ● ● ● ●

Tree-level CK duality for longitudinal gluons

▶ Relax transversality $p_n \cdot \varepsilon_n \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ tree CK duality fails

▶ By analogy can compensate with new *non-zero* vertices [BJKMSW '20]:

We can add them to the action without changing the physics [BJKMSW '20]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● の へ ()

Tree-level onn-shell CK duality for longitudinal gluons and ghosts

Using Lagrangian perspective, all CK failures can simultaneously be compensated by terms of the form

 $(\partial \cdot A)Y[A]$

Tree-level onn-shell CK duality for longitudinal gluons and ghosts

Using Lagrangian perspective, all CK failures can simultaneously be compensated by terms of the form



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● の Q @
Tree-level onn-shell CK duality for longitudinal gluons and ghosts

Using Lagrangian perspective, all CK failures can simultaneously be compensated by terms of the form

$$(\partial \cdot A)Y[A]$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥ ♥

Can add through the gauge-fixing functional
Gauge-fixing func. G[A]: $\partial \cdot A \mapsto G'[A] = \partial \cdot A - 2\xi Y$ Nakanishi-Lautrup b: $b \mapsto b' = b + Y$

► Longitudinal CK duality ⇔ gauge choice [BJKMSW '20, '21]

Tree-level CK duality for ghosts

Use on-mass-shell BRST Ward identities

$$Q_{
m YM}^{
m lin}A_{
m phys}=0, \quad Q_{
m YM}^{
m lin}A_{
m f}=c, \quad Q_{
m YM}^{
m lin}b=ar{c}$$

Analogous to global SUSY Ward identities

$$0 = \langle 0 | [Q_{YM}^{lin}, O_1 \cdots O_n] | 0 \rangle$$



Transfers CK duality onto ghosts through

$$\mathcal{L}_{ extsf{ghost}}^{ extsf{YM}} = ar{m{c}} oldsymbol{Q}_{ extsf{YM}} (\partial^{\mu} oldsymbol{A}_{\mu} - 2 \xi oldsymbol{Y})$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ のへで

On-shell tree-level CK manifesting BRST action

Introduce new auxiliary gluons and ghosts [BJKMSW '20, '21]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{BRST CK-dual}}^{\text{YM}} = \frac{1}{2} A_{a\mu} \Box A^{\mu a} - \bar{c}_a \Box c^a + \frac{1}{2} b_a \Box b^a + \xi b_a \sqrt{\Box} \partial_{\mu} A^{\mu a} \\ - K_{1a}^{\mu} \Box \bar{K}_{\mu}^{1a} - K_{2a}^{\mu} \Box \bar{K}_{\mu}^{2a} - gf_{abc} \bar{c}^a \partial^{\mu} (A_{\mu}^b c^c) \\ - \frac{1}{2} B_a^{\mu\nu\kappa} \Box B_{\mu\nu\kappa}^a + gf_{abc} \left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}^a + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \partial^{\kappa} B_{\kappa\mu\nu}^a \right) A^{\mu b} A^{\nu c} \\ - gf_{abc} \left\{ K_1^{a\mu} (\partial^{\nu} A_{\mu}^b) A_{\nu}^c + [(\partial^{\kappa} A_{\kappa}^a) A^{b\mu} + \bar{c}^a \partial^{\mu} c^b] \bar{K}_{\mu}^{1c} \right\} \\ + gf_{abc} \left\{ K_2^{a\mu} \left[(\partial^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} c^b) A_{\nu}^c + (\partial^{\nu} A_{\mu}^b) \partial_{\nu} c^c \right] + \bar{c}^a A^{b\mu} \bar{K}_{\mu}^{2c} \right\} + \cdots \right\}$$

 Cubic Feynman diagrams yield CK dual tree amplitudes for physical gluons and unphysical longitudinal modes and ghosts (on-shell)

Lifting to off-shell CK duality

Relaxing on-shell to off-shell momenta CK duality violated by terms

 $p_i^2 F_i$

for each external momentum p_i (unphysical gluons and ghosts)

• Can compensate with terms $\propto F \Box \Phi$ with non-local field redefinition

 $\Phi \mapsto \Phi + F, \qquad \Phi \Box \Phi \mapsto \Phi \Box \Phi + F \Box \Phi + \cdots$

so that off-shell tree-level BRST CK duality is manifest \rightarrow loop CK duality [BJKMSW '21]

Lifting to off-shell CK duality

Relaxing on-shell to off-shell momenta CK duality violated by terms

 $p_i^2 F_i$

for each external momentum p_i (unphysical gluons and ghosts)

• Can compensate with terms $\propto F \Box \Phi$ with non-local field redefinition

 $\Phi \mapsto \Phi + F, \qquad \Phi \Box \Phi \mapsto \Phi \Box \Phi + F \Box \Phi + \cdots$

so that off-shell tree-level BRST CK duality is manifest \rightarrow loop CK duality [BJKMSW '21]

► Price to pay: Jacobian determinants → counterterms ensuring unitarity

In this sense, this manifest loop CK duality is anomalous on the physical Hilbert space (but is exact on the complete pre-Hilbert space)

Perfect off-shell 'BRST-Lagrangian CK duality'

BV YM action with manifest off-shell CK duality

$$S_{\rm BV \ CK-dual}^{\rm YM} = \int C_{ij} c_{ab} A^{ia} \Box A^{ja} + F_{ijk} f_{abc} A^{ia} A^{jb} A^{kc} + A^{+}_{ia} \left(Q^{i}_{j} A^{ja} + Q^{i}_{jk} f^{a}_{bc} A^{jb} A^{kc} \right)$$

Andi fields

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ ■▶ ▲ ■ ● の Q @

Rendered cubic with infinite tower of aux. fields

$$A^{ia} = (A_{\mu}^{a}, b^{a}, \bar{c}^{a}, c^{a}, \underbrace{G_{\mu\nu\rho}^{a}, \bar{K}_{\mu}^{a}, \ldots}_{\text{auxiliaries}})$$

• c_{ab} , f^{abc} gauge group Killing form and structure constants

 C_{ij}, F^{ijk} are differential operators that satisfy the same identities as c_{ab}, f^{abc} as operator equations

$$c_{ab} = c_{(ab)} f_{abc} = f_{[abc]} c_{a(b}f_{c)d}^{a} = 0 f_{[ab|d}f_{c]e}^{d} = 0$$

$$C_{ij} = C_{(ij)} F_{ijk} = F_{[ijk]} C_{i(j}F_{k)l}^{i} = 0 F_{[ij|l}F_{k]m}^{l} = 0$$

Some comments

- Action has manifest CK duality
- The F_{ijk} are the structure constants of a kinematic Lie algebra mirroring the usual colour structure constants f_{abc}. Cf. [Monteiro-O'Connell '11, '13; Bjerrum-Bohr-Damgaard-Monteiro-O'Connell '12; Fu-Krasnov '16; Chen-Johansson-Teng-Wang 19; Campiglia-Nagy '21...]
- Corollary: loop amplitude integrands are CK dual automatically
- Anomalous, in a controlled manner, due to Jacobian counterterms that ensure (generalised) unitarity

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● の Q @

Some comments

- Action has manifest CK duality
- The F_{ijk} are the structure constants of a kinematic Lie algebra mirroring the usual colour structure constants f_{abc}. Cf. [Monteiro-O'Connell '11, '13; Bjerrum-Bohr-Damgaard-Monteiro-O'Connell '12; Fu-Krasnov '16; Chen-Johansson-Teng-Wang 19; Campiglia-Nagy '21...]
- Corollary: loop amplitude integrands are CK dual automatically
- Anomalous, in a controlled manner, due to Jacobian counterterms that ensure (generalised) unitarity
- Shift in point of view:
 - A consistent field theory formulation of CK duality
 - Anomaly: generalised unitarity proof of loop double copy doesn't go through, at least not straightforwardly
 - Departure from standard articulation of loop integrand CK duality: all desiderata except generalised unitarity
 - Latter replaced with off-shell CK duality of BV action (without Jacobian counterterms): alternative proof of double copy

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● の < ⊙



Yang–Mills squared ► $S_{BRST-CK}^{YM} \otimes \tilde{S}_{BRST-CK}^{YM} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 0$ supergravity $A^{ia} = (A_{\mu}{}^{a}, \text{ghosts, auxiliaries})$ $S_{CK}^{YM} = \int C_{ij} c_{ab} A^{ia} \Box A^{ja} + F_{ijk} f_{abc} A^{ia} A^{jb} A^{kc}$

 $A^{i\tilde{\imath}} = (h_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \varphi, \text{ghosts, auxiliaries})$ $S^{\mathcal{N}=0}_{\mathsf{DC}} = \int C_{ij} C_{\tilde{\imath}\tilde{\jmath}} A^{i\tilde{\imath}} \Box A^{j\tilde{\jmath}} + F_{ijk} F_{\tilde{\imath}\tilde{\jmath}\tilde{k}} A^{i\tilde{\imath}} A^{j\tilde{\jmath}} A^{k\tilde{k}}$

• $G \times \tilde{G}$ bi-adjoint scalar theory,

$$S_{\mathrm{DC}}^{\mathrm{bi-adj}} = c_{ab} \tilde{c}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} \Phi^{a\tilde{a}} \Box \Phi^{a\tilde{b}} + f_{abc} \tilde{f}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \Phi^{a\tilde{a}} \Phi^{b\tilde{b}} \Phi^{c\tilde{c}}$$

Cf. scattering equation formalism [Hodges '11; Cachazo-He-Yuan '13 '14]

BRST-Lagrangian CK duality \Rightarrow consistent syngamy

- No mention of CK duality overly general?
- ► How do we know $S_{\text{DC}}^{\mathcal{N}=0}$ is equivalent to $S_{\text{BRST}}^{\mathcal{N}=0}$?
- Semi-classical equivalence of $S_{DC}^{\mathcal{N}=0}$ (requires on-shell tree-level CK duality)

 $\begin{array}{rcl} F_{ijk} f_{abc} A^{ia} A^{jb} A^{kc} & \rightarrow & F_{ijk} F_{\tilde{\imath}\tilde{\jmath}\tilde{k}} A^{j\tilde{\imath}} A^{j\tilde{\imath}} A^{k\tilde{k}} \\ & \sum \frac{nc}{d} & \rightarrow & \sum \frac{n\tilde{n}}{d} \end{array}$

- ▶ ⇒ physical (h, B, φ) tree-level amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 0$ supergravity
- ► Cf. [Bern-Dennen-Huang-Kiermaier 1004.0693] for gravitons up to 6 points

BRST-Lagrangian CK duality \Rightarrow consistent syngamy

- No mention of CK duality overly general?
- ► How do we know $S_{\text{DC}}^{\mathcal{N}=0}$ is equivalent to $S_{\text{BRST}}^{\mathcal{N}=0}$?
- Semi-classical equivalence of $S_{DC}^{\mathcal{N}=0}$ (requires on-shell tree-level CK duality)

 $F_{ijk} f_{abc} A^{ia} A^{jb} A^{kc} \rightarrow F_{ijk} F_{\tilde{\imath}\tilde{\jmath}\tilde{k}} A^{i\tilde{\imath}} A^{j\tilde{\jmath}} A^{k\tilde{k}}$ $\sum \frac{nc}{d} \rightarrow \sum \frac{n\tilde{n}}{d}$

- ▶ ⇒ physical (h, B, φ) tree-level amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 0$ supergravity
- ► Cf. [Bern-Dennen-Huang-Kiermaier 1004.0693] for gravitons up to 6 points
- Quantum consistency: how do we we know that there exists some BRST Q such that:

$$QS_{\rm DC}=0, \qquad Q^2=0$$

BRST-Lagrangian CK duality \Rightarrow consistent syngamy

- No mention of CK duality overly general?
- ► How do we know $S_{\text{DC}}^{\mathcal{N}=0}$ is equivalent to $S_{\text{BRST}}^{\mathcal{N}=0}$?
- Semi-classical equivalence of $S_{DC}^{\mathcal{N}=0}$ (requires on-shell tree-level CK duality)

 $F_{ijk} f_{abc} A^{ia} A^{jb} A^{kc} \rightarrow F_{ijk} F_{\tilde{\imath}\tilde{\jmath}\tilde{k}} A^{i\tilde{\imath}} A^{j\tilde{\jmath}} A^{k\tilde{k}}$ $\sum \frac{nc}{d} \rightarrow \sum \frac{n\tilde{n}}{d}$

- ▶ ⇒ physical (h, B, φ) tree-level amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 0$ supergravity
- ► Cf. [Bern-Dennen-Huang-Kiermaier 1004.0693] for gravitons up to 6 points
- Quantum consistency: how do we we know that there exists some BRST Q such that:

$$QS_{\rm DC}=0, \qquad Q^2=0$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ 少へ⊙

Answer: double-copy operator Q_{DC} (requires off-shell BRST CK duality)

Double copy of BRST charge

• Double copy of BV action implies double copy BRST operator Q_{DC}

$$S_{\text{BV CK-dual}}^{\text{YM}} = \int C_{ij} c_{ab} A^{ia} \Box A^{ja} + F_{ijk} f_{abc} A^{ia} A^{jb} A^{kc} + A^{+}_{ia} \left(\begin{array}{c} Q^{i}{}_{j} A^{ja} + Q^{i}{}_{jk} f^{a}{}_{bc} A^{jb} A^{kc} \right) \\ QA \quad \text{and solve. for } QA \\ QA^{ia} = Q^{i}{}_{j} A^{ja} + Q^{i}{}_{jk} f^{a}{}_{bc} A^{jb} A^{kc} \\ QA^{ia} = Q^{i}{}_{j} A^{ja} + Q^{i}{}_{jk} f^{a}{}_{bc} A^{jb} A^{kc} \\ QA^{ia} = Q^{i}{}_{j} A^{ja} + Q^{i}{}_{jk} f^{a}{}_{bc} A^{jb} A^{kc} \\ QA^{ia} = Q^{i}{}_{j} A^{ja} + Q^{i}{}_{jk} f^{a}{}_{bc} A^{jb} A^{kc} \\ QA^{ia} = Q^{i}{}_{j} A^{ja} + Q^{i}{}_{jk} f^{a}{}_{bc} A^{jb} A^{kc} \\ QA^{ia} = Q^{i}{}_{j} A^{ja} + Q^{i}{}_{jk} f^{a}{}_{bc} A^{jb} A^{kc} \\ QA^{ia} = Q^{i}{}_{j} A^{ja} + Q^{i}{}_{jk} A^{ja} A^{jb} A^{kc} \\ QA^{ia} = Q^{i}{}_{j} A^{ja} + Q^{i}{}_{jk} A^{ja} A^{jb} A^{kc} \\ QA^{ia} = Q^{i}{}_{j} A^{ja} + Q^{i}{}_{jk} A^{ja} A^{jb} A^{kc} \\ QA^{ia} = Q^{i}{}_{j} A^{ja} + Q^{i}{}_{jk} A^{ja} A^{jb} A^{kc} \\ QA^{ia} = Q^{i}{}_{j} A^{ja} + Q^{i}{}_{jk} A^{ja} A^{jb} A^{kc} \\ QA^{ia} = Q^{i}{}_{j} A^{ja} + Q^{i}{}_{jk} A^{ja} A^{jb} A^{kc} \\ QA^{ia} = Q^{i}{}_{j} A^{ja} + Q^{i}{}_{jk} A^{ja} A^{jb} A^{kc} \\ QA^{ia} = Q^{i}{}_{j} A^{ja} A^{ja} + Q^{i}{}_{jk} A^{ja} A^{$$

• Yang-Mills gauge \Rightarrow diffeomorphisms and 2-form gauge symmetries:

$$Q_{\rm DC} = Q_{\rm diffeo} + Q_{2-\rm form} + {
m trivial}$$
 symmetries

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

Cf. [Anastasiou-LB-Duff-Hughes-Nagy '14]

All order double copy

Since F^{ijk} satisfy the same identities as f^{abc}

$$Q_{\rm DC}S_{\rm DC}=0,\qquad Q_{\rm DC}^2=0$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

All order double copy

Since F^{ijk} satisfy the same identities as f^{abc}

$$Q_{\rm DC}S_{\rm DC}=0,\qquad Q_{\rm DC}^2=0$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへで

► Semi-classical equivalence $+ Q_{DC} \Rightarrow$ quantum equivalence

Einstein is the square of Yang–Mills (at least perturbatively)

All order double copy

Since F^{ijk} satisfy the same identities as f^{abc}

$$Q_{\rm DC}S_{\rm DC}=0,\qquad Q_{\rm DC}^2=0$$

• Semi-classical equivalence $+ Q_{DC} \Rightarrow$ quantum equivalence

Einstein is the square of Yang–Mills (at least perturbatively)

Double copy of symmetries generalises, e.g.

global susy $~\times~$ gauge $~\rightarrow~$ local susy

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥ ♥

Straightforward supersymmetric completion

Generalisations



Generalisations

The double copy to all orders

- Given CK duality of the tree-level physical S-matrix we can run our argument:
 - Non-linear sigma model [Chen-Du '13] \rightarrow special Galileon
 - Fundamental couplings [Johansson-Ochirov '14] \rightarrow plethora of supergravity theories
 - ▶ Bagger-Lambert-Gustavsson [Bargheer-He-McLoughlin '12; Huang-Johansson '12] \rightarrow D = 3 maximal supergravity

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● の へ ()

BRST-Lagrangian CK duality for super Yang-Mills

- Irreducible super Yang–Mills multiplets are CK duality respecting Cf. [Bjerrum-Bohr–Damgaard–Vanhove '09]
- Susy Ward identities: CK gluons + susy ⇒ CK gluini (Caveat: higher order operators can spoil this argument, since there are superamplitudes with vanishing all-gluon component)

BRST-Lagrangian CK duality for super Yang-Mills

- Irreducible super Yang–Mills multiplets are CK duality respecting Cf. [Bjerrum-Bohr–Damgaard–Vanhove '09]
- Susy Ward identities: CK gluons + susy ⇒ CK gluini (Caveat: higher order operators can spoil this argument, since there are superamplitudes with vanishing all-gluon component)
- CK dual BRST-Lagrangian then follows with (essentially) no new ideas

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥ ♥

BRST-Lagrangian double copy

• (Type I super Yang–Mills)² = Type IIA/B supergravity

$$A^{ia} = (A_{\mu}^{a}, \psi_{\alpha}^{a}, \text{ghosts, aux}) \qquad R-R \text{ field strengths}$$

$$A^{i\tilde{j}} = (h_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \phi, \Psi_{\alpha\nu}, \Psi_{\mu\beta}, F_{\alpha\beta}, \text{ghosts, aux})$$

$$growitini$$

Local NS-R sector susy follows from super Yang–Mills factors

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}A_{\mu}{}^{a} = \delta^{a}{}_{b}\gamma_{\mu\alpha}{}^{\beta}\psi_{\beta}{}^{b} + \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}h_{\mu\nu} = \gamma_{(\mu\alpha}{}^{\beta}\Psi_{\beta\nu)} + \cdots$$

Super $\eta, \bar{\eta}$ and Nielsen–Kallosh χ ghosts

$$ar{m{c}}\otimes\psi\ \sim\ ar{\eta}\ , \quad m{c}\otimes\psi\ \sim\ \eta\ , \quad m{b}\otimes\psi\sim\chi$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

Ramond-Ramond sector

• Double copy $\psi_{\alpha} \otimes \psi_{\beta}$ gives *field strengths* $F_{\alpha\beta}$, not potentials:

Representation theory

IIA: $\overline{16} \otimes 16 = 1 \oplus 45 \oplus 210$ IIB: $16 \otimes 16 = 10 \oplus 120 \oplus 126$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ ■▶ ▲ ■ ● の Q @

- The BRST transformation of the gluino has no linear contribution, $Q_{\text{BRST}}\psi = [c, \psi]$, so $\psi \otimes \psi$ cannot transform as a potential
- R-R background fields couple to worldsheet through field strengths

Ramond-Ramond sector

• Double copy $\psi_{\alpha} \otimes \psi_{\beta}$ gives *field strengths* $F_{\alpha\beta}$, not potentials:

Representation theory

IIA: $\overline{16} \otimes 16 = 1 \oplus 45 \oplus 210$ IIB: $16 \otimes 16 = 10 \oplus 120 \oplus 126$

- The BRST transformation of the gluino has no linear contribution, $Q_{\text{BRST}}\psi = [c, \psi]$, so $\psi \otimes \psi$ cannot transform as a potential
- R-R background fields couple to worldsheet through field strengths
- Type IIA/B action can be written in terms of field strengths, e.g. $F_2 \wedge \star F_2 + \tilde{F}_4 \wedge \star F_4 + B_2 \wedge \tilde{F}_4 + B_2 \wedge B_2 \wedge F_2 \wedge \tilde{F}_4 - \frac{1}{3}B_2 \wedge B_2 \wedge B_2 \wedge F_2 \wedge F_2$

Sen's mechanism from double copy Ramond-Ramond sector

Double copy R–R field strengths are *elementary* fields that correctly reproduce scattering amplitudes through their Feynman diagrams

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{R}-\mathsf{R}}^{\mathsf{DC}} = \overline{F}^{\alpha\beta} \Box^{-1} \partial_{\alpha}{}^{\alpha'} \partial_{\beta}{}^{\beta'} F_{\alpha'\beta'} + \cdots$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● のへで

$$F_{AB} \sim \prod_{p=0}^{A} \frac{1}{p!} (\chi^{\mu,\dots,\mu_{p}} c) F_{\mu,\dots,\mu_{p}} \stackrel{>}{\underset{}{}} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \left(F \wedge \star F - dF \wedge \star \Box^{-1} dF \right) + \cdots$$

$$A_{UX.} (D - p - i) - form \stackrel{>}{\underset{}{}} \stackrel{>}{\underset{}{}} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} F \wedge \star F - \xi B \wedge dF - \frac{1}{2} B \wedge \star \Box B + \cdots$$

$$Undo \quad Feynman gauge \stackrel{>}{\underset{}{}} \stackrel{>}{\underset{}{}} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} F \wedge \star F - \xi B \wedge dF + \frac{1}{2} dB \wedge \star dB + \cdots$$

Sen's mechanism from double copy Ramond-Ramond sector

Double copy R–R field strengths are *elementary* fields that correctly reproduce scattering amplitudes through their Feynman diagrams

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{R}-\mathsf{R}}^{\mathsf{DC}} = \overline{F}^{\alpha\beta} \Box^{-1} \partial_{\alpha}^{\alpha'} \partial_{\beta}^{\beta'} F_{\alpha'\beta'} + \cdots$$

$$\rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \left(F \wedge \star F - \mathrm{d}F \wedge \star \Box^{-1} \mathrm{d}F \right) + \cdots$$

$$\rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} F \wedge \star F - \xi B \wedge \mathrm{d}F - \frac{1}{2} B \wedge \star \Box B + \cdots$$

$$\rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} F \wedge \star F - \xi B \wedge \mathrm{d}F + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{d}B \wedge \star \mathrm{d}B + \cdots$$

- Sen's mechanism [Sen '15] generalized to arbitrary (as opposed to self-dual) field strengths [BJKMSW '21]
- Sen's mechanism was motivated by IIB string field theory, where the R-R sector is naturally given in terms of bispinors natural double copy shadow

Homotopy CK Duality and Double Copy

<□> <□> <□> <=> <=> <=> <=> <=> <=> <=> <</p>

Homotopy algebras: generalise familiar (matrix, Lie...) algebras to include "higher products" satisfying "higher relations" up to homotopies

- Homotopy algebras: generalise familiar (matrix, Lie...) algebras to include "higher products" satisfying "higher relations" up to homotopies
- Lie algebras $\rightarrow L_{\infty}$ -algebras, first arose in string field theory:

Vector space	Graded vector space
$\mathfrak{g}=V_0$	$\mathfrak{L}=igoplus_n V_n$
Bracket	Higher brackets
$\mu_{2}=[-,-]$	$\mu_1 = [-], \ \mu_2 = [-, -], \ \mu_3 = [-, -, -], \ldots$
Relations	Relations
Antisymmetry + Jacobi	Antisymmetry + homotopyJacobi

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● の へ ()

[Zwiebach '93; Hinich-Schechtman '93]

- Homotopy algebras: generalise familiar (matrix, Lie...) algebras to include "higher products" satisfying "higher relations" up to homotopies
- Lie algebras $\rightarrow L_{\infty}$ -algebras, first arose in string field theory:

Vector space	Graded vector space
$\mathfrak{g}=V_0$	$\mathfrak{L}=igoplus_n V_n$
Bracket	Higher brackets
$\mu_{2} = [-,-]$	$\mu_1 = [-], \ \mu_2 = [-, -], \ \mu_3 = [-, -, -], \ldots$
Relations	Relations
Antisymmetry + Jacobi	Antisymmetry + homotopyJacobi

[Zwiebach '93; Hinich-Schechtman '93]

• Associative algebras $\rightarrow A_{\infty}$ -algebras [Stasheff '63]

• Commutative algebras $\rightarrow C_{\infty}$ -algebras [Kadeishvili '88]

• Chevalley–Eilenberg formulation of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with basis t_a :

$$\mathsf{CE}(\mathfrak{g}) = \bar{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{g}[1]^*) := \bigoplus_{p=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{Sym}^p(\mathfrak{g}[1]^*)$$

$$Qt^a = -\frac{1}{2}f^a{}_{bc}t^bt^c, \qquad Q^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{Jacobi}$$

(ロ)、<</p>

• Chevalley–Eilenberg formulation of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with basis t_a :

$$\mathsf{CE}(\mathfrak{g}) = \overline{T}(\mathfrak{g}[1]^*) := \bigoplus_{p=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{Sym}^p(\mathfrak{g}[1]^*)$$

$$Qt^a = -\frac{1}{2}f^a{}_{bc}t^bt^c, \qquad Q^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{Jacobi}$$

• Chevalley–Eilenberg formulation of L_{∞} -algebra \mathfrak{L} with basis t_a :

$$\mathsf{CE}(\mathfrak{L}) = ar{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{L}[1]^*)$$

 $Qt^a = -\sum_n \frac{1}{n!} \mu_n{}^a{}_{a_1 \cdots a_n} t^{a_1} \cdots t^{a_n}, \qquad Q^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{homotopy Jacobi}$

Any BV field theory with operator Q_{BV} corresponds to an L_{∞} -algebra in the CE picture, see e.g. [Jurco-Raspollini-Saemann-Wolf '18]

▶ Yang-Mills theory $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathsf{YM}}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへで

- Homotopy Maurer-Cartan theory —> field strengths + gauge trans.
- ► Cartan-Killing form $\langle -, \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \text{cyclic structure } \langle -, \rangle_{\text{YM}}$ on \mathfrak{L}^{YM}

• BV action
$$\sim \sum \frac{1}{(i+1)!} \langle a, \mu_i(a, \ldots, a) \rangle$$

► Yang-Mills theory £^{YM}

- Homotopy Maurer-Cartan theory —> field strengths + gauge trans.
- ► Cartan-Killing form $\langle -, \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \text{cyclic structure } \langle -, \rangle_{\text{YM}}$ on \mathfrak{L}^{YM}

• BV action
$$\sim \sum \frac{1}{(i+1)!} \langle a, \mu_i(a, \ldots, a) \rangle$$

• L_{∞} quasi-isomorphisms \longrightarrow physical equivalence (field redefinitions etc)

- Strictification: $\mu_i = 0, i > 2 \rightarrow$ cubic theory
- Minimal model: $\mu_1 = 0 \rightarrow$ tree scattering amplitudes
- Cf. [Jurčo-Raspollini-Saemann-Wolf '18; Jurčo-Macrelli-Saemann-Wolf '19]

Colour-Kinematic-Scalar Factorisation of Yang-Mills

• $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathsf{YM}}$ factorises into colour \otimes kinematics \otimes_{τ} scalar



[BLKMSW '21]


Colour-Kinematic-Scalar Factorisation of Yang-Mills

• $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathsf{YM}}$ factorises into colour \otimes kinematics \otimes_{τ} scalar



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● の へ ()

[BLKMSW '21]

colour: gauge group Lie algebra

Colour-Kinematic-Scalar Factorisation of Yang-Mills

• $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathsf{YM}}$ factorises into colour \otimes kinematics \otimes_{τ} scalar



[BLKMSW '21]

colour: gauge group Lie algebra

tinematics: graded vector space of Poincaré representations of fields

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mathbb{R}[-1] & \oplus & \left(\mathbb{R}^d \oplus \mathbb{R}
ight) & \oplus & \mathbb{R}[1] & \oplus & \operatorname{Auxiliaries} \ c & & (A_\mu,b) & ar{c} & & B_{\mu
u
ho}\cdots \end{array}$$

• scalar: A_{∞} -algebra of a scalar field theory

$$\langle -, - \rangle_{\rm YM} = \langle -, - \rangle_{\rm colour} \langle -, - \rangle_{\rm tinematics} \langle -, - \rangle_{\rm scalar}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ のへで

Michel Reiterer [1912.03110]

- ▶ Proof of on-shell tree-level CK duality for physical gluons via BV_{∞}^{\Box} -algebra!
- Relies on the existence of a degree -1 unary map h on Zeitlin-Costello BV complex for Yang–Mills (think order formulation with A, F⁺) satisfying

 $h^2 = 0$, $dh + hd = \Box$ (plus some other conditions)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ (~

- ▶ *h* exists and is a second-order derivation up to homotopy \Rightarrow
 - BV_{∞}^{\Box} -algebra on Zeitlin-Costello BV complex
 - On-shell tree-level CK duality for physical gluons

Michel Reiterer [1912.03110]

- ▶ Proof of on-shell tree-level CK duality for physical gluons via BV_{∞}^{\Box} -algebra!
- Relies on the existence of a degree -1 unary map h on Zeitlin-Costello BV complex for Yang–Mills (think order formulation with A, F⁺) satisfying

 $h^2 = 0$, $dh + hd = \Box$ (plus some other conditions)

- ▶ *h* exists and is a second-order derivation up to homotopy \Rightarrow
 - BV_{∞}^{\Box} -algebra on Zeitlin-Costello BV complex
 - On-shell tree-level CK duality for physical gluons
- ▶ Very special: only D = 4, no loop desiderata (ghosts, gauge-fixing)
- ► A little mysterious: BV[□]_∞-algebra generalise famous BV_∞-algebras (homotopy BV-algebras [Galvez-Carrillo-Tonks-Vallette '09]), where e.g.

 $\Delta^2 \Box = (\mathsf{id} + \sigma_{(123)} + \sigma_{(123)}^2)(\mathsf{id} \otimes \Delta \Box) - (\mathsf{id} + \sigma_{(123)} + \sigma_{(123)}^2)(\mathsf{id} \otimes \mathsf{id} \otimes \Box)$

The homotopy algebra of CK duality [BJKMSW 'to appear 21]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の��

The homotopy algebra of CK duality [BJKMSW 'to appear 21]

▶ BRST-Lagrangian CK duality $\Leftrightarrow BV^{\Box}$ -algebra, cf. [Getzler '93]

 $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathsf{YM}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \underbrace{\mathfrak{kinematics}}_{\mathfrak{Kin} \equiv BV^{\Box}-\mathsf{algebra}}$

► BV[□]-algebra comes with two products - · - and [-, -] and three unary operators

$$d^2 = h^2 = 0, \quad dh + hd = \Box$$

- The homotopy algebra of CK duality [BJKMSW 'to appear 21]
 - ▶ BRST-Lagrangian CK duality $\Leftrightarrow BV^{\Box}$ -algebra, cf. [Getzler '93]

$$\mathfrak{L}^{\mathsf{YM}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \underbrace{\mathfrak{kinematics} \otimes_{\tau} \mathfrak{scalar}}_{\mathfrak{Kin} \equiv BV^{\Box} - \mathsf{algebra}}$$

► BV[□]-algebra comes with two products - · - and [-, -] and three unary operators

$$d^2 = h^2 = 0, \quad dh + hd = \Box$$

- The homotopy BV^{\Box} -algebra depends on the ambient category
- In the usual category of chain complexes d is privileged

The homotopy algebra of CK duality [BJKMSW 'to appear 21]

▶ BRST-Lagrangian CK duality $\Leftrightarrow BV^{\Box}$ -algebra, cf. [Getzler '93]

 $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathsf{YM}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \underbrace{\mathfrak{kinematics}}_{\mathfrak{Kin} \equiv BV^{\Box}-\mathsf{algebra}}$

► BV[□]-algebra comes with two products - · - and [-, -] and three unary operators

$$d^2 = h^2 = 0, \quad dh + hd = \Box$$

- The homotopy BV^{\Box} -algebra depends on the ambient category
- In the usual category of chain complexes d is privileged
- Introduce symmetric monoidal category of Hodge complexes (modules over twisted Hopf algebras with central element □)

$$d^2 = h^2 = 0, \quad dh + hd = \Box$$

 $Coassociativity \Rightarrow the seven-term \ identity$

In this category, both d and h are a part of the ambient structure

The homotopy algebra of CK duality

► Homotopy algebra: $BV_{\infty/Hdg}^{\Box}$ -algebra

Corresponds to integrating out auxiliary fields

▶ Homotopy relations of $BV_{\infty/Hdg}^{\Box}$ -algebra \leftrightarrow kinematic Jacobi relations

The homotopy algebra of CK duality

► Homotopy algebra: $BV_{\infty/Hdg}^{\Box}$ -algebra

Corresponds to integrating out auxiliary fields

- ▶ Homotopy relations of $BV_{\infty/Hdg}^{\Box}$ -algebra \leftrightarrow kinematic Jacobi relations
- Computational efficiency:
 - Purely tree-level calculations
 - One identity at any order (the rest follow axiomatically)

 $\sum_{p+q=n+2} n$ -point tree with two internal (*p*-ary and *q*-ary) vertices

= *n*-point tree with one internal (*n*-ary) vertex

But, work with Feynman diagrams - marry with on-shell methods?

Future work

- ► AdS background [Zhou '21; Diwakar-Herderschee-Roiban-Teng '21 ...] \rightarrow Hopf algebra of universal enveloping algebra of AdS isometries
- ► Bagger-Lambert-Gustavsson CK duality [Bargheer-He-McLoughlin '12; Huang-Johansson '12] \rightarrow m-ary BV^{\Box} operads
- ▶ Matter coupling [Johansson-Ochirov '14] \rightarrow many-sorted BV^{\Box} operads
- String theory (modular envelope over) $BV_{\infty}^{L_0}$

$$\{d,h\} = \Box \longrightarrow \{Q,b_0\} = L_0$$

Cf. BV_{∞} structure on TVOA [Galvez-Carrillo-Tonks-Vallette '09] lifting the BV-algebra structure on the BRST (co)homology [Lian-Zuckerman '93]

Counterterms?

Thanks for listening